

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/648,402	HOOFARD ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Raymond W. Addie	3671	

Status of Application: *FAOM attached herewith*

(3) Palan Larson.

(4) _____.

Time: 2:00PM

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Part I.

Claims discussed:
none

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Raymond A. Apple
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant submitted 2 IDS's filed 10/14/03 and 4/15/2004, the 4/15 IDS wrongly listed the Atty. Docket #, Application serial #, and the filing date. Applicant was asked if the cited references should be considered; to which Applicant requested the references be considered. Hence, the Examiner corrected the information on the IDS forms..